# **Bandit Algorithms (part 3)**

Tor Lattimore

# Menu for the day

- Bandits with experts
- Adversarial linear bandits
- Shortest path problems
- Ranking
- Semibandits

### Bandits with experts

- $\cdot$  k actions
- Adversary chooses losses  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in [0, 1]^k$
- $\cdot$  m experts making recommendations
- $\cdot \;$  Expert  $i$  recommends action  $a_t^i$  $\frac{\imath}{t}$  in round  $t$
- Learner chooses an action  $A_t \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$
- Regret is

$$
\mathfrak{R}_n = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^n \ell_{t, A_t} - \ell_{t, a_t^i}\right]
$$

Exp4

- FTRL with negentropy over the experts
- Algorithm samples expert  $E_t$  from distribution  $P_t$

$$
P_t(i) = \frac{\exp(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \hat{\ell}_{s,a_t^i})}{\sum_{j=1}^m \exp(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \hat{\ell}_{s,a_t^j})}
$$

- $\cdot \,$  Then plays action  $A_t = a_t^{E_t}$ t
- Loss estimate is

$$
\hat{\ell}_{t,a} = \frac{\mathbb{1}(A_t = a)\ell_{t,a}}{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{1}(a_t^i = a)P_t(i)}
$$

# Analysis • Start with the usual bound

$$
\mathfrak{R}_n \le \frac{\log(m)}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m P_t(i) \hat{\ell}_{t, a_t^i}^2 \right]
$$

• Variance term

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^m P_t(i)\hat{\ell}_{t,a_t^i}^2\right] \leq k.
$$

• Regret is bounded by

$$
\Re_n \le \frac{\log(m)}{\eta} + \frac{\eta nk}{2} = \sqrt{2nk \log(m)}
$$

# Application to non-stationary bandits

- Standard bandit setting
- k actions,  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in [0, 1]^k$
- Different regret

 $\mathfrak{R}_n = \max_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n}$  $a_1,...,a_n:\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}(a_t \neq a_{t+1} \leq c)$  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \right]$  $t=1$  $\ell_{t,A_t} - \ell_{t,a_t}$ 1

# Application to non-stationary bandits

- Standard bandit setting
- k actions,  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in [0, 1]^k$
- Different regret

 $\mathfrak{R}_n = \max_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n}$  $a_1,...,a_n:\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}(a_t \neq a_{t+1} \leq c)$  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \right]$  $t=1$  $\ell_{t,A_t} - \ell_{t,a_t}$ 1

- Simple algorithm just runs Exp4
- Roughly  $m \approx {n \choose c}$  $\binom{n}{c}k^c$

# Application to non-stationary bandits

- Standard bandit setting
- k actions,  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in [0, 1]^k$
- Different regret

 $\mathfrak{R}_n = \max_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n}$  $a_1,...,a_n:\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}(a_t \neq a_{t+1} \leq c)$  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \right]$  $t=1$  $\ell_{t,A_t} - \ell_{t,a_t}$ 1

- Simple algorithm just runs Exp4
- Roughly  $m \approx {n \choose c}$  $\binom{n}{c}k^c$
- Regret is  $O(\sqrt{cnk\log(nk)})$

### Adversarial linear bandits  $\cdot \: \: {\mathcal A} \subset {\mathbb R}^d$

- Adversary chooses losses  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n$
- max<sub>a∈A</sub>  $|\langle a, \ell_t \rangle| \leq 1$
- Learner chooses  $A_t \in \mathcal{A}$
- Loss for action a is  $\ell_t(a) = \langle a, \ell_t \rangle$
- Learner suffers  $\ell_t(A_t)$
- Regret is

$$
\mathfrak{R}_n = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^n \langle A_t - a, \ell_t \rangle\right]
$$

### Examples

- $A = \{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$
- Just have the usual finite-armed case
- **Fundamental**  $\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||x||_p \leq 1\}$
- **Practical**  $A =$  finite set
- We can deal with changing action sets as well

## Exp3 for linear bandits

- $|\mathcal{A}| = k$
- Algorithm plays FTRL over distribution on  $A$
- Negentropy potential

$$
\mathfrak{R}_n \lesssim \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\log(k)}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a)\hat{\ell}_t(a)^2\right]
$$

### Estimating  $\ell_t$

- Last time,  $\hat{\ell}_t(a) = \frac{\mathbb{1}(A_t = a)\ell_t(a)}{P_t(a)}$
- Does not use the linear structure

### Estimating  $\ell_t$

• Least squares estimation

$$
\hat{\ell}_t = Q_t^{-1} A_t \langle A_t, \ell_t \rangle \qquad Q_t = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) a a^{\top}
$$

• Expectation

$$
\mathbb{E}[\hat{\ell}_t | P_t] = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) Q_t^{-1} a a^\top \ell_t = Q_t Q_t^{-1} \ell_t = \ell_t
$$

$$
M_t = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a)\hat{\ell}_t(a)^2
$$

$$
M_t = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a)\hat{\ell}_t(a)^2
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) \left( a^\top Q_t^{-1} A_t \langle A_t, \ell_t \rangle \right)^2
$$

$$
M_t = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a)\hat{\ell}_t(a)^2
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) (a^{\top} Q_t^{-1} A_t \langle A_t, \ell_t \rangle)^2
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) a^{\top} Q_t^{-1} A_t A_t^{\top} Q_t^{-1} a
$$

$$
M_t = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a)\hat{\ell}_t(a)^2
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) (a^{\top} Q_t^{-1} A_t \langle A_t, \ell_t \rangle)^2
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) a^{\top} Q_t^{-1} A_t A_t^{\top} Q_t^{-1} a
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) \operatorname{Tr} (Q_t^{-1} A_t A_t^{\top} Q_t^{-1} a a^{\top})
$$

$$
M_t = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a)\hat{\ell}_t(a)^2
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) (a^{\top} Q_t^{-1} A_t \langle A_t, \ell_t \rangle)^2
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) a^{\top} Q_t^{-1} A_t A_t^{\top} Q_t^{-1} a
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) \operatorname{Tr} (Q_t^{-1} A_t A_t^{\top} Q_t^{-1} a a^{\top})
$$
  
= 
$$
\operatorname{Tr}(Q_t^{-1} A_t A_t^{\top})
$$

$$
M_t = \sum_{a \in A} P_t(a)\hat{\ell}_t(a)^2
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{a \in A} P_t(a) (a^{\top} Q_t^{-1} A_t \langle A_t, \ell_t \rangle)^2
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{a \in A} P_t(a) a^{\top} Q_t^{-1} A_t A_t^{\top} Q_t^{-1} a
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{a \in A} P_t(a) \operatorname{Tr} (Q_t^{-1} A_t A_t^{\top} Q_t^{-1} a a^{\top})
$$
  
= 
$$
\operatorname{Tr} (Q_t^{-1} A_t A_t^{\top})
$$

Taking the conditional expectation,

$$
\mathbb{E}[M_t | P_t] = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) \operatorname{Tr} (Q_t^{-1} a a^\top) = d
$$

### Almost works...

• Plugging in,

$$
\mathfrak{R}_n \lesssim \frac{\log(k)}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) \hat{\ell}_t(a)^2 \right]
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{\log(k)}{\eta} + \frac{\eta n d}{2}
$$
  

$$
\leq \sqrt{2n d \log(k)}
$$

• It's the bound we want, but...

### Almost works...

• Plugging in,

$$
\mathfrak{R}_n \lesssim \frac{\log(k)}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) \hat{\ell}_t(a)^2 \right]
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{\log(k)}{\eta} + \frac{\eta n d}{2}
$$
  

$$
\leq \sqrt{2n d \log(k)}
$$

- It's the bound we want, but...
- $\cdot$  Taylor's approximation only good when  $\eta \hat{\ell}_t(a) \geq -1$

# Adding exploration

- FTRL recommends  $P_t$
- Let  $\tilde{P}_t = (1 \gamma)P_t + \gamma \pi$
- π is an **exploration distribution**
- $A_t \sim \tilde{P}_t$

## Adding exploration

- FTRL recommends  $P_t$
- Let  $\tilde{P}_t = (1 \gamma)P_t + \gamma \pi$
- π is an **exploration distribution**
- $A_t \sim \tilde{P}_t$

• 
$$
Q_t = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \tilde{P}_t(a) a a^{\top} \succ \gamma Q_{\pi} = \gamma \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a) a a^{\top}
$$

$$
\hat{\ell}_t(a) = |a^\top Q_t^{-1} A_t \langle A_t, \ell_t \rangle|
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle Q_\pi^{-1/2} a, Q_\pi^{-1/2} A_t \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} ||a||_{Q_\pi^{-1}} ||A_t||_{Q_\pi^{-1}} \leq \frac{d}{\gamma}
$$

### Kiefer–Wolfowitz theorem

Assume  ${\cal A}$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

$$
f(\pi) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \log \det Q_{\pi} \qquad \quad g(\pi) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \|a\|_{Q_{\pi}^{-1}}^2
$$

#### **Theorem** The following are equivalent

- $\cdot \pi$  is a maximizer of f
- $\cdot$   $\pi$  is a minimiser of  $q$
- $q(\pi) = d$

Also, a minimiser of  $\pi$  has support at most  $d(d+1)/2$ 

### Geometric intuition



Smallest central ellipsoid containing the  $A$ 

### Linear bandit analysis

• A little calculation shows that

$$
\Re_n \lesssim \frac{\log(k)}{\eta} + n\gamma + \eta nd \qquad \text{with } \gamma \ge \eta d
$$

• Optimizing  $\eta$  eventually leads to

$$
\Re_n \leq 2\sqrt{3dn\log(k)}
$$

## Path routing



- $\cdot$  d edges in the graph
- A path is a set of edges
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}\ \mathcal{A}\subset\{0,1\}^d$
- The loss is the length of the whole path
- $\ell_t(a) = \langle a, \ell_t \rangle$
- Assuming  $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^d$
- $\cdot$  d edges in the graph
- A path is a set of edges
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}\ \mathcal{A}\subset\{0,1\}^d$
- The loss is the length of the whole path
- $\ell_t(a) = \langle a, \ell_t \rangle$
- Assuming  $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^d$
- **Bandit feedback** Observe  $\langle A_t, \ell_t \rangle$
- **Semibandit feedback** Observe  $A_{t,i}\ell_{t,i}$

# A simple ranking problem

- $\cdot$  Learner chooses  $m$  out of  $d$  products to recommend
- $\ell_{t,i} = 0$  if the user would click on product  $i \in [d]$
- $\ell_{t,i} = 1$  otherwise
- $\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^d : ||x||_1 = m\}$
- Learner observes  $A_{t,i}\ell_{t,i}$

Combinatorial semi-bandits

- $\mathcal{A} \subset \{x \in \{0,1\}^d : ||x||_1 \leq m\}$
- Adversary chooses losses  $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^d$
- $\cdot \,$  Loss suffered by learner is  $\langle \ell_t, A_t \rangle$
- **Bandit feedback** Observe  $\langle A_t, \ell_t \rangle$
- **Semibandit feedback** Observe  $A_{t,i}\ell_{t,i}$
- Regret as usual

$$
\mathfrak{R}_n \le \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^n \langle A_t - a, \ell_t \rangle\right]
$$

## FTRL for combinatorial semibandits

- Play FTRL with negentropy on  $conv(A)$
- Learner chooses point in  $X_t \in \text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$
- $\cdot \;$  Find distribution  $P_t$  with  $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) a = X_t$
- Estimate losses by

$$
\hat{\ell}_{t,i} = \frac{A_{t,i}\ell_{t,i}}{X_{t,i}}
$$

### FTRL for combinatorial semibandits

• Our standard regret bound

$$
\mathfrak{R}_n \le \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{F(a) - F(X_1)}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^d X_{t,i} \hat{\ell}_{t,i}^2 \right]
$$
  

$$
\le \frac{m(1 + \log(d/m))}{\eta} + \frac{\eta nd}{2}
$$
  

$$
\le \sqrt{2nmd(1 + \log(d/m))}
$$

## Drawbacks of FTRL for semibandits

- **Computation seems challenging**
- There are two optimization problems to solve
- Finding the recommendation of FTRL

$$
X_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{A})} \eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \langle x, \hat{\ell}_s \rangle + F(x)
$$

 $\cdot \;$  Finding  $P_t$  such that  $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) a = X_t$ 

# Drawbacks of FTRL for semibandits

- **Computation seems challenging**
- There are two optimization problems to solve
- Finding the recommendation of FTRL

$$
X_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{A})} \eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \langle x, \hat{\ell}_s \rangle + F(x)
$$

- $\cdot \;$  Finding  $P_t$  such that  $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(a) a = X_t$
- The first is convex, the second is linear
- $\cdot$  But A is very large!

Drawbacks of FTRL for semibandits

• A reminder about the regret

$$
\mathfrak{R}_n = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^n \langle A_t - a, \ell_t \rangle\right]
$$

• An algorithm with sublinear regret can approximate

$$
\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \langle a, \ell_t \rangle
$$

• Can we derive an efficient algorithm that solves optimization problems of this kind?

- **Follow the perturbed leader**
- Regularize with **randomization**

- **Follow the perturbed leader**
- Regularize with **randomization**
- $\cdot$  Sample  $Z_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  from carefully chosen distribution

$$
A_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \langle a, \hat{\ell}_s \rangle + \langle a, Z_t \rangle
$$

- **Follow the perturbed leader**
- Regularize with **randomization**
- $\cdot$  Sample  $Z_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  from carefully chosen distribution

$$
A_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \langle a, \hat{\ell}_s \rangle + \langle a, Z_t \rangle
$$

 $\cdot \;$  You can prove  $\mathfrak{R}_n = O(m\sqrt{nd(1+\log(d))})$ 

- **Follow the perturbed leader**
- Regularize with **randomization**
- $\cdot$  Sample  $Z_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  from carefully chosen distribution

$$
A_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \langle a, \hat{\ell}_s \rangle + \langle a, Z_t \rangle
$$

- $\cdot \;$  You can prove  $\mathfrak{R}_n = O(m\sqrt{nd(1+\log(d))})$
- Proof is technical, but very nice
- **Main idea** Write algorithm as FTRL **in expectation**

# What else is there?

- A lot!
- How to handle non-stationary environments?
- Delays?
- Other structure (convex bandits, infinite action sets, bandits on graphs, kernelizing linear bandits,...)
- Other settings (pure exploration)
- Partial monitoring
- Bayesian methods